



# ZOONO<sup>®</sup> ANIMAL HEALTH

[HOME](#)[TECHNOLOGY](#)[APPLICATION](#)[BIOSECURITY](#)[LAB STUDIES](#)[FIELD STUDIES](#)[PRESS](#)[CONTACT](#)

## FIELD STUDIES

On the farms serviced by a leading veterinary company in Australia, trials conducted by Zoono Animal Health

target areas - farrowing crates - nurseries - drinker and feeder bowls.

Observations - lower pathogen levels for sustained periods - better general animal health.

Objective - understanding common ailments and identifying areas for biosecurity improvement, educating farmers of the benefits of Zoono products.

## MEASUREMENT SCALES, TECHNIQUES, SCALE AND VALUES

### TESTING

Measurements were realized with ATP testing technology. The measurement units are in RLU that is not a standardized unit of measurement. It depends on the

### SCALE

RLU scales are different for each system. Each manufacturer sets their own value for 1 light unit and all

### VALUES

Average value: For each shed and for each measurement moment, was obtained an average RLU value calculated as

ing systems, sensitivities, reagent formulations and  
ection systems.

ales are different for each system. The most relevant  
if the measurement is not the absolute value but the  
comparison, between sheds and on time.



measurements are made relative to that value. The scale  
defined by the manufacturer of the used equipment is:

|                   |                                  |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>0 - 30</b>     | <b>Considered Food Safe</b>      |
| <b>31 - 100</b>   | <b>Considered clean</b>          |
| <b>101 - 200</b>  | <b>Caution!</b>                  |
| <b>201 - 500</b>  | <b>Contaminated</b>              |
| <b>501 - 1000</b> | <b>High Risk of Infection</b>    |
| <b>1000 +</b>     | <b>Extreme Risk of Infection</b> |

average value of the two mid points from the six measured  
goal is to reduce the outliers.

Relative difference: The relative comparison between shed  
obtained by calculation of the ratio in percentage between  
average value obtained for each shed in the same date

## NURSERY

[illegible]

- The room was prepared with normal sanitisation and await stock arrival.
- ATP's were carried out and still sporadic high pathogen loads were registered.
- Misting was carried out and further ATP results show significant reductions. A retest with ATP proved a sustained reduction in pathogen loads after 9 days.

| Nursery                         |            |                     |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------|------|------------|--------|------|------------|--------|------|
| Cycle description:              |            | Washed no Sanitiser |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
|                                 | 12/06/2019 |                     |      | 12/06/2019 |        |      | 20/06/2019 |        |      |
| POSITION                        | Test #     | Result              | Code | Test #     | Result | Code | Test #     | Result | Code |
| Day 1 Back wall drinker         |            | 3321                | F    |            | 6      | A    |            | 86     | B    |
| Day 2 Feeder trough             |            | 2267                | F    |            | 28     | A    |            | 92     | B    |
| Day 4 5th bar in on rh rail     |            | 954                 | E    |            | 55     | B    |            | 45     | B    |
| Day 9 Feeder trough             |            | 922                 | E    |            | 8      | A    |            | 105    | C    |
| Day 11 back wall lhs of drinker |            | 131                 | C    |            | 118    | C    |            | 71     | B    |
| Day 12 drinker bowl             |            | 8942                | F    |            | 21     | A    |            | 87     | B    |
| Day 12 wall inside gate lhs     |            | 7595                | F    |            | 1153   | F    |            | 326    | D    |
|                                 |            |                     |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
|                                 |            |                     |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
|                                 |            |                     |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
| RESULTS                         |            |                     |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
| Average Untreated               |            | 3447.43             |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
| Medium (midpoint) treated       |            | 116.00              |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |
| Total reduction after treatment |            | -96.64%             |      |            |        |      |            |        |      |

- The room was prepared with a power wash and sanitisation.
- ATP readings were taken pre misting application extremely high levels were recorded.
- Z-71 POULTRY was applied and retested once – (approx. 45 min after) – 8 days
- Reduction in pathogen load recorded an average 96%.

## FARROWING SHED

- Comparison was between rooms 1 & 2 in No 2 farrowing shed
- Room 2 – power wash with standard sanitisation
- Room 1 – power wash with no sanitisation
- Room 1 – Retest after product applied THEN AFTER 15 DAYS
- Results proved an overall reduction in pathogen load of 94% between both sheds.

Conclusion : This product applied in the correct manner reduces pathogen loads more ef than standard sanitisation and for longer sustained periods of time as already proven.

## TRIAL SUMMARY



Areas agreed requiring Zoono technology.

- Staff Clothing
- Staff vehicles
- Staff common areas – black mould
- Wash down water supply
- Farrowing crates
- Feeding troughs
- Nurseries

